

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,489	(	09/17/2003	Johnny Duane Maxwell	01-219	4848
29891	7590	04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
LAURA M. HAGAN				ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
1025 STATE STREET BOWLING GREEN, KY 42101				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				3761	3761

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments	10/664,489	MAXWELL, JOHNNY DUANE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ja</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the objec			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/664,489

Art. Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fournier (3,818,911) in view of Yoon (5,439,457).

With respect to claims 10 and 12, Fournier discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the disc-like portions having diameters that increase in size toward the tip. Fournier discloses a vaginal cleansing swab, as disclosed in column 3, lines 58-65, comprising an shaft 22 and a moisture absorbent end piece 17 positioned over the first end of the shaft 22, as shown in figure 6. The end piece 17 comprises a dome-shaped tip and three projecting disc-like portions 24, as shown in figure 6.

Yoon discloses a cleansing swab having disc-like portions of different diameters, the diameters increasing in size toward the tip of the swab, as shown in figure 18.

Increasing the diameter towards the tip of the swab provides the swab with advantageous insertion and decreased leakage, as disclosed in column 9, lines 46-52.

It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to increase the diameter of the disc-like portions of Fournier toward the tip of the swab, as taught by Yoon, to provide the swab with advantageous insertion and decreased leakage.

Page 3

With respect to claims 11 and 13, Fournier discloses the second end of the shaft 22 is offset at an angle from the longitudinal axis, as shown in figure 10.

With respect to claims 14-16, Fournier discloses separation areas, shown as indents between projecting disc-like portions 24 in figure 6. The separation areas have a smaller diameter than the disc-like portions, as shown in figure 6, and are comprised of moisture absorbent material, as disclosed in column 2, lines 1-4.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/664,489

Art Unit: 3761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571)

272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cla

March 31, 2006

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4